

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
ERIK PRINCE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

21 **CIVIL** 10075 (LAP)

JUDGMENT

THE INTERCEPT, et al.,

Defendant.

-----X

It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:** That for the reasons stated in the Court's Opinion & Order dated July 12, 2023, Defendants' motion to dismiss is GRANTED. Because Plaintiff was aware of the deficiencies in his Complaint when he filed the AC and his subsequent amendments failed to address these deficiencies, Defendants' motion is granted with prejudice. See *Kling v. World Health Org.*, 532 F. Supp. 3d 141, 153 (S.D.N.Y. 2021) (citing *Nat'l Credit Union Admin. Bd. v. U.S. Bank Nat'l Assn*, 898 F.3d 243, 257-58 (2d Cir. 2018) ("[i]n general, a plaintiff's failure to fix deficiencies in the previous pleading, after being provided notice of them, is alone sufficient ground to deny leave to amend"). Accordingly, the case is closed.

Dated: New York, New York

July 13, 2023

RUBY J. KRAJICK

Clerk of Court

BY:



Deputy Clerk